arXiv:1405.2969v2 [math.FA] 23 Aug 2014

LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE CONSTANTS OF THE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD INEQUALITIES

GUSTAVO ARAÚJO AND DANIEL PELLEGRINO

Abstract. Given an integer $m \geq 2$, the Hardy–Littlewood inequality (for real scalars) says that for all $2m \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists a constant $C^{\mathbb{R}}_{m,p} \geq 1$ such that, for all continuous m–linear forms $A : \ell_p^N \times \cdots \times \ell_p^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and all positive integers N,

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{N} |A(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C^{\mathbb{R}}_{m,p} \|A\|.$$

The limiting case $p = \infty$ is the well-known Bohnenblust–Hille inequality; the behavior of the constants $C^{\mathbb{R}}_{m,p}$ is an open problem. In this note we provide nontrivial lower bounds for these constants.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote the field of real or complex scalars. The multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality asserts that for all positive integers $m \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C^{\mathbb{K}}_m \geq 1$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{N} |A(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq C^{\mathbb{K}}_m \|A\|$$

for all continuous m–linear forms $A : \ell_\infty^N \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^N \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers N. The precise growth of the constants $C^{\mathbb{K}}_m$ is important for applications (see [6]) and remains a big open problem. Only very recently, in [2, 8] it was shown that the constants have a subpolynomial growth. For real scalars, in 2014 (see [4]) it was shown that the optimal constant for $m = 2$ is $\sqrt{2}$ and in general $C^{\mathbb{R}}_m \geq 2^{1-\frac{1}{m}}$. In the case of complex scalars it is still an open problem whether the optimal constants are strictly grater than 1; in the polynomial case, in 2013 D. Núñez-Alarcón proved that the complex constants are strictly greater than 1 (see [7]).

Even basic questions related to the constants $C^{\mathbb{K}}_m$ remain unsolved. For instance:

- Is the sequence of optimal constants $\left(C^{\mathbb{K}}_m\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ increasing?
- Is the sequence of optimal constants $\left(C^{\mathbb{K}}_m\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ bounded?
- Is $C^{\mathbb{C}}_m = 1$?

The Hardy-Littlewood inequalities are a generalization of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality to ℓ_p spaces. The bilinear case was proved by Hardy and Littlewood in 1934 (see [5]) and in 1981 it was extended to multilinear operators by Praciano–Pereira (see [9]).

Theorem 1.1 (Hardy and Littlewood inequalities ([5, 9]))**.** *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $p \geq 2m$. Then there exists a constant $C^{\mathbb{K}}_{m,p} \geq 1$ such that, for every continuous m–linear form $A : \ell_p^N \times \cdots \times \ell_p^N \to \mathbb{K}$,*

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{N} |A(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C^{\mathbb{K}}_{m,p} \|A\|$$

for all positive integers N.

Key words and phrases. Absolutely summing operators.

The authors are supported by CNPq Grant 313797/2013-7 - PVE - Linha 2.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46G25. Secondary 11J13, 30C10.

It was recently shown that $\left(C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is sublinear for $p \geq m^2$. More precisely, it was shown that (see [1, page 1887])

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\tfrac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{m} \Gamma\left(2-\frac{1}{j}\right)^{\frac{j}{2-2j}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}},$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(2^{\frac{446381}{55440}-\frac{m}{2}} \prod_{j=14}^{m} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{j}{2-2j}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 14,$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{m} 2^{\frac{1}{2j-2}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 13.$$

The precise estimates of the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequalities are unknown and even its asymptotic growth is a mystery (as it happens with the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality). In this note we provide nontrivial lower bounds for these inequalities. Following the lines of [4], it is possible to prove that

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \geq 2^{\frac{mp+2m-2m^2-p}{mp}} > 1 \tag{1}$$

when $p > 2m$, but note that when $p = 2m$ we have $2^{\frac{mp+2m-2m^2-p}{mp}} = 1$ and thus we do not have nontrivial information. In this paper we also treat the extreme case $p = 2m$.

Theorem 1.2. *The optimal constants of the Hardy-Littlewood inequalities satisfy*

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} > 1$$

2. The proof of Theorem 1.2

All that it left to prove is the case $p = 2m$. We divide the proof in five steps.

Step 1. Induction.

This first step follows the lines of [4]. For $2m \leq p \leq \infty$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,p} \quad : \quad \ell_p^2 \times \ell_p^2 \quad &\to \quad \mathbb{R} \\ (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) \quad &\mapsto \quad x_1^{(1)}x_1^{(2)} + x_1^{(1)}x_2^{(2)} + x_2^{(1)}x_1^{(2)} - x_2^{(1)}x_2^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,p} \quad : \quad \ell_p^{2^{m-1}} \times \cdots \times \ell_p^{2^{m-1}} \quad &\to \quad \mathbb{R} \\ (x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(m)}) \quad &\mapsto \quad \left(x_1^{(m)} + x_2^{(m)}\right) T_{m-1,p}\left(x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(m)}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(x_1^{(m)} - x_2^{(m)}\right) T_{m-1,p}\left(B^{2^{m-1}}(x^{(1)}), B^{2^{m-2}}(x^{(2)}), ..., B^2(x^{(m-1)})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{(k)} = \left(x_j^{(k)}\right)_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}} \in \ell_p^{2^{m-1}}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, and B is the backward shift operator in $\ell_p^{2^{m-1}}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|T_{m,p}(x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(m)})\right| &\leq \left|x_1^{(m)} + x_2^{(m)}\right| \left|T_{m-1,p}\left(x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(m)}\right)\right| \\ &\quad + \left|x_1^{(m)} - x_2^{(m)}\right| \left|T_{m-1,p}\left(B^{2^{m-1}}(x^{(1)}), B^{2^{m-2}}(x^{(2)}), ..., B^2(x^{(m-1)})\right)\right| \\ &\leq \|T_{m-1,p}\| \left(\left|x_1^{(m)} + x_2^{(m)}\right| + \left|x_1^{(m)} - x_2^{(m)}\right|\right) \\ &= \|T_{m-1,p}\| \, 2 \max\left\{\left|x_1^{(m)}\right|, \left|x_2^{(m)}\right|\right\} \\ &\leq 2 \|T_{m-1,p}\| \left\|x^{(m)}\right\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|T_{m,p}\| \leq 2^{m-2}\|T_{2,p}\|. \tag{2}$$

Step 2. Estimating $\|T_{2,4}\|$.

Note that

$$\|T_{2,4}\| = \sup\left\{\left\|T_{2,4}^{(x^{(1)})}\right\| : \left\|x^{(1)}\right\|_4 = 1\right\},$$

where $T_{2,4}^{(x^{(1)})} : \ell_4^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by $x^{(2)} \mapsto T_{2,4}\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}\right)$. Thus we have the operator

$$T_{2,4}^{(x^{(1)})}\left(x^{(2)}\right) = \left(x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)}\right)x_1^{(2)} + \left(x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}\right)x_2^{(2)}.$$

Since $(\ell_4)^* = \ell_{\frac{4}{3}}$, we obtain $\left\|T_{2,4}^{(x^{(1)})}\right\| = \left\|\left(x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)}, x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}, 0, 0, ...\right)\right\|_{\frac{4}{3}}$. Therefore

$$\|T_{2,4}\| = \sup\left\{\left(\left|x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)}\right|^{\frac{4}{3}} + \left|x_1^{(1)} - x_2^{(1)}\right|^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} : \left|x_1^{(1)}\right|^4 + \left|x_2^{(1)}\right|^4 = 1\right\}.$$

We can verify that it is enough to maximize the above expression when $x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)} \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_{2,4}\| &= \sup\left\{\left(\left(x + \left(1-x^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} + \left|x - \left(1-x^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right|^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} : x \in [0,1]\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\sup\left\{f(x) : x \in \left[0, 2^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right]\right\}, \sup\left\{g(x) : x \in \left[2^{-\frac{1}{4}}, 1\right]\right\}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(x) := \left(\left(x + \left(1-x^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} + \left(\left(1-x^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} - x\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

and

$$g(x) := \left(\left(x + \left(1-x^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}} + \left(x - \left(1-x^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Examining the maps f and g we easily conclude that

$$\|T_{2,4}\| < 1.74.$$

In fact, the precise value seems to be graphically $\sqrt{3}$.



FIGURE 1. Graphs of the functions f and g, respectively.

Step 3. Estimating $\|T_{2,p}\|$ for $p \geq 4$.

For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $A : \ell_p^N \times \ell_p^N \to \mathbb{K}$, consider $\widetilde{A} : \ell_p^N \to (\ell_p^N)^*$ given by $\widetilde{A}(x) = A(x, \cdot) \in (\ell_p^N)^*$. It is easy to see that $\|A\| = \|\widetilde{A}\|$.

From [4] we know that

$$\|T_{2,\infty}\| = 2.$$

Let us suppose, for a moment, that we have, in this case, the Riesz-Thorin Theorem for real scalars with constant 1, as in the case of complex scalars. By considering

$$\theta = \frac{p-4}{p},$$

we would conclude from [3, Theorem 1.1.1] that

$$\left\|\widetilde{T_{2,p}}\right\| \leq \left\|\widetilde{T_{2,4}}\right\|^{1-\theta} \left\|\widetilde{T_{2,\infty}}\right\|^{\theta},$$

i.e.,

$$\|T_{2,p}\| \leq \|T_{2,4}\|^{1-\theta}\|T_{2,\infty}\|^{\theta} < (1.74)^{\frac{4}{p}} 2^{\frac{p-4}{p}}. \tag{3}$$

Step 4. Estimating the constants.

From (2) and (3) we would conclude that $\|T_{m,p}\| < 2^{m-2}(1.74)^{\frac{4}{p}} 2^{\frac{p-4}{p}}$. On the other hand, from Theorem 1.1 we have

$$(4^{m-1})^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} = \left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{2^{m-1}} |T_{m,p}(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}\right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} < C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} 2^{m-2}(1.74)^{\frac{4}{p}} 2^{\frac{p-4}{p}}.$$

and thus

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} > \frac{(4^{m-1})^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}}}{2^{m-2}(1.74)^{\frac{4}{p}} 2^{\frac{p-4}{p}}} = 2^{\frac{mp+(6-4\log_2(1.74))m-2m^2-p}{mp}}.$$

Step 5. Verifying that $2^{\frac{mp+(6-4\log_2(1.74))m-2m^2-p}{mp}} > 1$.

Indeed,

$$2^{\frac{mp+(6-4\log_2(1.74))m-2m^2-p}{mp}} = \frac{2^{\frac{mp+6m-2m^2-p}{mp}}}{(1.74)^{\frac{4}{p}}} > 2^{\frac{mp+2m-2m^2-p}{mp}} \geq 1.$$

However, if $p = 2m$ it remains to consider the case in which the Riesz-Thorin Theorem holds with a constant bigger than 1; for this particular case, we may repeat the Step 2 for other values of p. We just need to observe that $\|T_{2,p}\| < 2$ for $4 \leq p < \infty$. In fact, in this case,

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \geq \frac{(4^{m-1})^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}}}{2^{m-2}\,\|T_{m,p}\|} > 2^{\frac{mp+2m-2m^2-p}{mp}} \geq 1.$$

Acknowledgement: The authors thank the referees for important suggestions that improved the final version of this paper.

References

[1] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino, and D.D.P. Silva, On the upper bounds for the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 1878–1888.

[2] F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino, and J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, The Bohr radius of the n–dimensional polydisk is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$, Advances in Math. **264** (2014) 726–746.

[3] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, Interpolation spaces: an introduction, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **223**, Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag, ISBN 3-540-07875-4.

[4] D. Diniz, G. A. Muñoz-Fernádez, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Lower Bounds for the constants in the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality: the case of real scalars, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), n. 2, 575–580.

[5] G. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Bilinear forms bounded in space $[p, q]$, Quart. J. Math. **5** (1934).

[6] A. Montanaro, Some applications of hypercontractive inequalities in quantum information theory, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012).

[7] D. Núñez-Alarcón, A note on the polynomial Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **407** (2013) 179–181.

[8] D. Núñez-Alarcón, D. Pellegrino, D. M. Serrano-Rodríguez, and J. B. Seoane–Sepúlveda, There exist multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille constants $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty}(C_{n+1} - C_n) = 0$, J. Funct. Anal., **264** (2013) 429–463.

[9] T. Praciano–Pereira, On bounded multilinear forms on a class of ℓ_p spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **81** (1981), n. 2, 561–568.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: gdasaraujo@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br and dmpellegrino@gmail.com